

CLAYTON STATE UNIVERSITY
Undergraduate Curriculum Committee (UCC)
MEETING MINUTES

Date: September 7, 2012 **KEY: C = Comment; R = Response; Q = Question**
Presiding: Tatiana Krivosheev (chair)
Present: Scott Bailey, Rebecca Gmeiner Charlie Harris, Susan Hornbuckle, Bryon Jeff (non-member), Kathryn Kemp (from Faculty Senate), Mary Lamb, Mara Mooney, George Nakos, Leon Prieto (non-member), Chris Raridan, Dina Swearngin, Joan Taylor, Robert Vaughan
Not Present: Christian Barrientos, David Furman, Randall Gooden (from Faculty Senate), Joanna Harris, Adam Kubik
Recorder: Valerie Baker for Joanna Harris

TOPIC	DISCUSSION	ACTION
CALL TO ORDER	T. Krivosheev called meeting to order at approx. 12:00p.m. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Motion to accept 5.4.12 minutes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5.4.12 minutes approved
OLD BUSINESS <i>CIMS:</i> IT: Program Revisions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • B. Jeff explains IT Curriculum Committee worked on program revision updating IT and CNET programs; charged with meeting Complete College GA goals. Misalignment with transfer students; desire to increase program flexibility and accessible for accelerated and increase completion rates. Program revisions at each level. 	
2 program changes of CNET in certificate and AAS level	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1): Computer networking certificate: 54 hours = 2 years. Courses specifically for CNET and elective courses. Decision: streamline curriculum to 40 hours and align CNET curriculum with IT curriculum. CNET and IT had similar objs; merged into one for both CNET and IT students for better alignment. Modified certificate will enable students move into AAS or BS program in IT with no course loss. • New curriculum: 40 hours; elective IT classes transferred up into remaining in certificate. • 2) Program merge as a result of COPS disbanded led to AAS in Computer Networking and IT. Better course alignment over the course 3 years between the 2 AAS degrees. Desire students to have flexibility with courses from rigid structure. Proposal to freeze admission into AAS-CNET; following last graduate deactivate AAS-CNET = having only AAS-IT with concentration in CNET. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approved program hour change. • Approve program deactivation.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Motion to approve change in hours. • C: Must start deactivation now. • Motion to deactivate program. 	
<p>Lower Division – IT</p> <p>New Courses</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ITFN 2214 Web Application Development <p>Courses Removed</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ITFN 2211 Database Design • ITFN 2411 WebMaster • ITFN 2123 Project Management • ITFN 2001 Professional Development 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AAS-IT application to lower division of B-IT. Objective to provide opportunity for students to take elective courses in other disciplines by opening slots and apply to program. Rationale: Movement IT program towards informatics (the application of IT to other disciplines). • Change rigid items to allow open elective slots. • ITNF 2211 and ITNF 2411 – database learning and web programming and design: similar courses. Instead of individual courses, integrate into single course. Opens 3 hours for free electives. • C: These are not equivalent. • R: In transitional period, students who have both they could graduate under current curriculum. <p>--- discussion about courses, equivalents, replacements, etc. ---</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C: Make course not open if already have credit • ITNF 2214 – new course in lower division • ITFN 2123 and ITFN 2001 moved into one 3 hour course into upper division. Rearranged content. • C: Students stopped at AAS, are they missing important part of degree program? • R: No. Faculty felt in lower division, while project mgmt. important not critical. Critical for overall BS. • Prior to motion, question about if new course proposal ITFN 2214 has been approved. • Lower division program changes tabled until new course ITFN 2214 proposal explained and approved. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lower division program changes (new course and course removals) tabled.

**Upper Division –
Bachelors in IT:**

New courses

- **ITFN 3003
Professional
Developments
and Ethics***
- **ITFN 3144
Informatics
Project
Management ****
- **ITFN 4433 Web
Integration****
- **ITFN 4154
Informatics
Integration****

Courses Removed

- **ITFN 3134**
- **ITFN 4003**
- **ITSD 4303**
- **ITSD 4312**
- **ITMM 4413**
- **ENGL 3900**

- 4 concentrations currently, students required to complete 1 concentration and complete 2 courses in another. Found separate areas, reality has blending.
- 4 concentrations: networking and security; e-commerce; software development; database
- CS program addition caused significant number of students to be for CS majors since it involves software development. Drop-off of IT students in concentration = discontinuation.
- Lower division has database. E-commerce incorporates security and has better alignment with networking and security than web design. Desire to drop e-commerce track; take one course and place into networking track.
- Want to have informatics; create deeper understanding in another discipline. New track: informatics. Not necessary IT courses; collection of upper division courses in another discipline (i.e. healthcare, biology). Lower division with free electives for foundational courses and upper division emphasis = facilitates students minor in another discipline.
- Ends with 3 concentration: networking and security; database; informatics
- ITFN 3144 has ITNF 2321 (lower division) content
- ITFN 4154 Capstone course pulling all content
- ITFN 3134 content moved to concentration; all students have to do lower division in network and security
- ITSD 4303 and 4312 content moved into main curriculum
- ITMM 4413 was in e-commerce; content moved into networking and course ITFN 4433
- ITFN 4003 (and ITNF 2001) content merged into ITFN 3003
- ENGL 3900 – technical writing course and is important in IT; agree need methods to assess technical writing. Used course as centralized method for assessment. B. Jeff references NCSU publication for outcome-based assessment for programmatic thinking; observation – writing across curriculum appropriate than just centralized; diffuse writing within discipline than general approach. Issue is assessment; outcome-based can facilitate and validate. How applied? Each program has communications and writing outcome for assessment. A centralized course does not mean it meets all needs.

- Upper division program changes (new course and course removals) tabled.

- Q: Do you think faculty will grade papers on English structure (i.e. grammar)? In other disciplines, looking for content and not structure. By removing course, are you removing structure?
- R: Technical writing more than just structure. It is in ENGL 1101 and 1102.
- B. Jeff invites ideas if ENGL 3900 is kept as centralized assessment course of technical writing, but cannot have 123 hour program, so something else would need to be adjusted ----- discussion about writing, communications, etc. -----
- Support for ENGL 3900 removal: Nursing does not have upper division ENGL writing course; has entrance essay and addressed every semester. Must have faculty support. Courses do integrate writing and use ENGL and writing lab for evaluation.
- Q: How will the program be implemented? Students will switch programs if a new one is started. Problem: a student hasn't taken the course and transitions, then do not have technical writing piece. How will the program ensure students have this component?
- R: Students would not have the upper division where it would be incorporated since courses are new. There are multiple courses that are writing intensive. In lower division, less courses having a significant amount of writing. If students transition, the new courses would be rich in writing to do assessments and training.
- Q: Have the courses been developed?
- R: No. Proposed.
- Q: The integration of ENGL 3900 would be developed into courses.
- R: Implementation would be Fall 2013.
- Q: Students could start taking courses if transitioning.
- R: Yes, Fall 2013.
- Q: Would you see transfer students going into these upper division courses?
- R: No.
- C: Suggestion, if implemented in Fall 2013, encourage faculty to work with ENGL faculty using engaged learning innovations program.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • B. Jeff requests new course to be on Spring schedule; unsure if enough time for development. Upper division implemented in Fall 2013. • C/Q: Deactivating AAS-CNET, BOR has specific procedures, just FYI. Concern of transfer students courses being applied to free electives. Do you need guidelines? • R: 2 populations – students focus on particular discipline and use free electives as focus for discipline. 1) Students come in IT major, BIO minor and that’s how slots filled; 2) have wide variety and take internal concentrations and focus on IT. Students cannot plug in free electives and do upper division courses related to electives; have decision to fill with minor pre-reqs or use courses taken and have IT concentrations. Advising issue to guide students. ----- discussion about banner, pre-reqs, C as min., etc. ----- • Request to table and clean up course proposals for October meeting along with course description along with course name from other schools and find other USG system course descriptions. • 5 courses proposals: 1 lower and 4 upper; will get requested items prior to meeting. 	
ADJOURN	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meeting adjourned at approx. 1:15p.m. 	

Next Meeting: October 5, 2012 at 12:00p.m. University Center (UC) Room 260 – moved to UC 121 due to room lighting repairs